REMARKS

This amendment addresses the official Action of July 5, 2006. The claims remaining in the case are 1-4, 9 and new claims 13 and 14 have been added.

The Examiner states that claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 9 of co-pending Application No. 10/528,982. As it appears that this is the only remaining rejection, Applicants respectfully request the examiner to withdraw the "provisional" double patenting rejection and allow the instant application to issue to patent and maintain the double patenting rejection in Application No. 10/528,982 as a "provisional" double patenting rejection in accordance with M.P.E.P section 804 IB.

Applicant's would also like to bring to the attention of the examiner that in their April 2006 Amendment "hydrogen" should not have been deleted from the definition of R4 and R5. To do so would necessarily mean the deletion of Applicant elected species. Thus, claim 1 has herein been amended to re-insert "hydrogen" in the definition of R4 and R5 to more precisely define the invention. No new matter has been added.

Additionally, new claims 13, 14 and 15 have been added to further define the invention. Support for the addition of the new claims can be found on pages 4 through 8. No new matter has been added.

As a result of the remarks Applicant's believe the examiner's concerns have been met and overcome. The Examiner is therefore respectfully requested to remove the objections and rejections.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Sylvia A. Ayler
Reg. No. 36,436
Attorney for Applicant(s)
MERCK & CO., INC.
P.O. Box 2000
Rahway, New Jersey 07065-0907
(732) 594-4909

Date: July 20, 2006